

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 21- 6- 2012

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus National Energy Trading and Services Ltd.,	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	For orders.
2	M.P.No.11 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	For orders.
3	M.P.No.31 of 2011	DCW Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	For orders.
4	M.A.P.No. 2 of 2007	TNEB Versus Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd.,		Praying to finalize and fix the capital cost of the 105.66MW power project. For admission.
5	M.A.P.No. 1 of 2012	Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. P.R. Raman	Praying to finalize and fix the capital cost of the 105.66MW power project. For admission.
6	M.P.No. 17 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to grant the time extension for submission of the initial report on fuel price adjustment charges. For admission.
7	M.P.No.18 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Arun Vyapar Udyog (P) Ltd., and 7 others.	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Installation of ABT compliant meter by HT consumers and open access customers. For admission.
8	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in R.P.No.2 of 2012 and R.P.No.2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to condone the delay of 45 days and review the Tariff Order dated 30 th March 2012. For admission.
9	M.P.No. 19 of 2012	Christian Medical College Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. J.P. Peter	Praying to change the tariff from HT III to HT IIA. For admission.
10	M.P.No.10 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	For further direction.
11	M.P.No.24 of 2011	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Status to be reported.

12	D.R.P.No.4 of 2012	Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, DEDC, Dindigul.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 7-12-2011 in so far as the levy of excess energy / demand penalty of Rs.18,64,574/- For counter.
13	M.P.No.12 of 2012	Gangadhar Narsingdas Agarwal Versus TNEB	Adv. Srinath Sridevan	Praying to punish the respondent for wilful disobedience of the order passed in D.R.P.No.14 of 2011 dated 11-7-2011. For counter.
14	M.P.No.14 of 2012	1) IWPA 2) Tata Power Co., Ltd., 3) Ushdev power Holdings Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) LDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to issue a direction bestowing must run status on all wind energy generators. For counter.
15	R.A.No.4 of 2011	1) TECA 2) SIMA Versus TNEB	Adv. N. L. Rajah	For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	1. Terra Energy Ltd., 2. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare the action of TNEB and PTC in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power for the month of September and October 2009 as arbitrary and illegal. For arguments.
17	D.R.P.No.16 of 2011	1. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., 2. Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct PTC to make payment of Rs.4,97,14,775/- being the amount illegally deducted from the bills of 1 st petitioner. For arguments.
18	M.P.No.33 of 2011	RL Clean Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. SE, Sivagangai EDC	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to declare the TANGEDCO proceedings No. 83 dated 16-3-2011 is in violation of Tariff Order No.1 of 2010 dated 27-5-2010. For arguments.
19	M.P.No.22 of 2011	Steel Authority of India Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) SE, Salem EDC	Adv. A. Ilango " K. Sankaran	Praying to waive 15% extra energy charges for harmonic creation by the petitioner. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary