

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 26- 4- 2012

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	M.P.No.11 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Approval for dispatching the power outside the Merit Order for the month of April, May and June 2012. For admission.
2.	M.P.No.9 of 2012	Numeric Power Systems Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	The Chief Engineer, NCES was directed to present the status.
3.	R.A.No.4 of 2011	1) TECA 2) SIMA Versus TNEB	Adv. N. L. Rajah	For arguments.
4.	M.P.No.8 of 2011	Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. A. Jenasen " E. Manoharan Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to pass appropriate orders against the respondent Board for disobedience of the Commission's order dated 7-9-2010 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2010. For arguments.
5.	I.A.No. 1 of 2011 and D.R.P.No. 28 of 2011	Best Cotton Mills Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For arguments.
6.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 1 of 2012	Super Sales India Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For arguments.
7.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 2 of 2012	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For arguments.
8.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 5 of 2012	Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, DEDC, Dindigul.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 7-12-2011 issued by SE, Dindigul EDC. For arguments.

9.	D.R.P.No.8 of 2011	Lanco Tanjore Power Co., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan	Praying to adjudicate the dispute between the petitioner and respondent arising out of the issuance of notice dated 16-5-2008, whereby demand has been made for an amount of Rs.7,17,96,524/-. For arguments.
10.	D.R.P.No.9 of 2011	Lance Tanjore Power Co., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan	Praying to adjudicate the dispute between the petitioner and respondent arising out of the issuance of notice dated 26-8-2010, whereby demand has been made for an amount of Rs.1,59,57,115/- For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary