

**No. 36 / 2012 dated: 20-11-2012**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 27-11- 2012**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 11.00 to 13.30 & 14.30 onwards**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.No.1 of 2012 & D.R.P.No.22 of 2012	International Institute of Bio Technology & Toxicology Versus SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv. Anitha Sumanth	Praying to grant a stay of collection of the disputed amount of Rs.71,49,467/-. For admission and interim relief.
2	I.A.No.1 of 2012 & M.P.No.41 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru. Seshadri CE, PPP	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of October 2012. For admission.
3	M.P.No.18 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus TN Spinning Mills Association and others.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Installation of ABT compliant meters by HT consumers and open access customers. For counter.
4	P.P.A.P.No.7 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Jindal Power Ltd., 2) Adani Enterprises Ltd.,	Thiru. Seshadri CE, PPP	Praying to consider the adoption of tariff. For counter.
5	M.P.No.34 of 2012	Brakes India Ltd.,  Versus  TANGEDCO	Thiru. N.L. Rajah	Praying to clarify that the demand available to the petitioner should be calculated only on the basis of the energy injected into the grid and non on the basis of the energy consumed by the petitioner. For counter.
6	P.P.A.P.No. 1 of 2011	1) Sakthi Sugars Ltd., 2) TNPL Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Shivakumar	Praying to fix power purchase price for using coal instead of bagasse and to order separate categorization. For arguments.
7	D.R.P.No. 24 of 2011	Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. SE, Cuddalore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.5,40,90,289/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 26-3-2004. For arguments.
8	D.R.P.No. 25 of 2011	Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. SE, Tanjavur EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.3,31,49,875/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 31-3-2004. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No. 26 of 2011	Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. SE, Cuddalore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.15,34,33,236/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 18-8-2004. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No. 27 of 2011	Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. SE, Tanjavur EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.1,67,24,532/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 15-3-2004. For arguments.
11	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in P.P.A.P.No. 5 of 2012	Spic Electric Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to permit the petitioner to amend the name and registered office of the company. For arguments.

12	M.P.No.30 of 2011	Beta Wind Farm (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SLDC	Adv. Jenasenan “ Manoharan	Praying to direct the respondent to execute renewable energy wheeling agreement under REC scheme and to treat the energy sold to the grid as having been sold at APPC tariff. For arguments.
13	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in M.P.No.22 of 2012	Thiru. R. Nawal Kishore Singh Versus TNEB	Adv. Madheswaran “ K. Karunanithy	Praying to impose a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- as fine and Rs.6500/- per day from 9-5-2012 till the electric service connection is restored by the respondent. For arguments.
14	M.P.No.26 of 2012	TANTRANSCO Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. L. Udayakumar CE, Transmission	Praying to approve the base unitary charges as Rs.12,03,42,500/- per month for providing transmission service by probable private developer for 400 KV Wind power corridor. For arguments.
15	M.P.No.33 of 2012	Dharmapuri Paper Mills Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) Director Distribution, TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) CE, Distribution 4) SE, Dharmapuri EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to issue a direction to the respondents to provide uninterrupted power supply during the sanctioned working days without any unscheduled load shedding or power cuts. For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No. 6 of 2012	Karmic Business Specialities Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TNEB 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. P. Subba Reddy “ K. Aparna Devi	Praying to direct the respondents to release outstanding dues as per PPA. For arguments.
17	D.R.P.No. 9 of 2012	T.T. Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.5,03,353/- together with interest of Rs.17,65,126/-. For arguments.
18	D.R.P.No.10 of 2012	T.T. Industries Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.66,38,940/- together with interest of Rs.19,55,589/-For arguments.
19	P.P.A.P.No.6 of 2012	OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to fix the rate for the supply of infirm power from the date of commissioning to the date of commercial operation. For arguments.
20	P.P.A.P.No.8 of 2012	Sterlite Industries (India) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to accept the supply of infirm power. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary