

No. 37 / 2012 dated: 20-11-2012

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 28-11- 2012

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11.30 to 13.30 & 14.30 onwards

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.No.2 of 2012 in R.P.No.3 of 2012	New Tirupur Area Development Corporation Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC 3) SE, Erode EDC 4) Secretary, Energy Deptt.	Adv. T. Poornam	Praying to direct the respondents not to raise invoices and take any coercive steps to disconnect the service connection of the petitioner. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No.25 of 2012	PTC India Ltd., Versus 1) Auomira Energy Co.P.Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. Varun Pathak	Praying to direct the 1 st respondent to make a payment of Rs.1,19,31,776/- along with interest of Rs.26,76,248/- for not complying with its contractual obligations. For admission.
3	M.P.No.29 of 2012	Savita Oil Technologies Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner do no fall under the regulation 6(1)(b) of the RPO Regulation and entitled to the benefits under RPO without waiting for 3 year cooling period. For counter.
4	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in M.P.No.27 of 2012	Orchid Chemicals and Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC/South	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned order of the respondent and extend the benefit of tariff change from Tariff III to Tariff IIA. For counter.
5	M.P.No.31 of 2012	Central Training Centre, CRPF, Coimbatore Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Thiru. T.Sekar, DIG	Praying to set aside the impugned letter of the 3rd Respondent and change the tariff under HT Tariff IIA. For counter.
6	D.R.P.No.3 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	M/s. Iyer & Thomas Adv. Karthick Seshadri	Praying to make rules on calculation of deemed demand for power purchased on reliability charges or purchased under inter state open access rules. For arguments.
7	D.R.P.No.4 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	M/s. Iyer & Thomas Adv. Karthick Seshadri	Praying to make a rule for grid support to consumers who are having CPP within their premises. For arguments.
8	M.P.No.18 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TNEB	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Direct the respondents to categorize integrated steel plant as a continuous process industry. For arguments.

9	M.P.No.5 of 2012	Indian Wind Power Assn., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to extend the period of banking for the year 2011-12 to 31 st May 2012 and to make payment to the banked units at the end of the banking period at the rate of Rs.12/- per unit. For arguments.
10	M.P.No.7 of 2012	The Southern India Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-12 by a further period of 3 months. For arguments.
11	M.P.No.14 of 2012	1) IWPA 2) Tata Power Co., Ltd., 3) Ushdev power Holdings Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & 2) LDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to issue a direction bestowing must run status on all wind energy generators. For arguments.
12	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	Thiru. Manoharan Chief Financial Controller/ Revenue	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For arguments.
13	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2012 in D.R.P.No.11 of 2012	Sree Rangaraaj Ispat Industries Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) CE/PPP, TNEB 3) SE, Erode EDC	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to direct the respondents to implement the order No.2 dated 15-5-2006. For arguments.
14	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2012 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2012	Sree Rangaraaj Ispat Industries Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) CE/PPP, TNEB 3) SE, Erode EDC	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to direct the respondents to implement the order No.2 dated 15-5-2006. For arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.13 of 2012	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar	Praying to permit the petitioner to use alternate fuel to maintain PLF of the plant as contemplated in PPA. For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No.14 of 2012	SJLT Textiles Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) SE, Namakkal EDC	Thiru. V. Selvadurai	Praying to fix pro-rata demand and energy quota based on the present wind capacity of 2.9MW with effect from September 2010. For arguments.
17	I.A. No.1 of 2012 & R.P.No.4 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru. V. R. Geethanathan	Praying to review the order dated 28-9-2012 passed in M.P.No.10 of 2012. For arguments.
18	M.P.No. 19 of 2012	Christian Medical College Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. J.P. Peter	Praying to change the tariff from HT III to HT IIA. For arguments.
19	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in M.P.No.21 of 2012	Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to permit the petitioner to run their industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary