

**No. 5 / 2013 dated: 4-2-2013**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 7-2- 2013**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 11.30 to 13.30 and 14.30 onwards**

<b>Sl.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel or parties</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	P.P.A.P.No.10 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Praying to approve the purchase of 20 MW of RTC power from Madras Cements Ltd., for the period from January 2013 to May 2013. For admission.
2	I.A.No.1 of 2012 & D.R.P.No.29 of 2012	Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) ACE, Erode EDC	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to direct the respondents not to levy and collect the backup power or outage charges. For admission and interim relief.
3	I.A.No.1 of 2012 & D.R.P.No. 30 of 2012	Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) ACE, Erode EDC	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to direct the respondents not to levy and collect the backup power or outage charges. For admission and interim relief.
4	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2013	Cauvery Power Gen. Chennai Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) DO, TANTRANSCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For admission.
5	D.R.P.No.1 of 2013	OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2)TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.56,61,291.50/- towards supply of 12,12,268 units. For admission.
6	D.R.P.No.2 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2)TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.88,38,811/- towards supply of 18,92,679 units. For admission.
7	M.P.No.46 of 2010	Indian Wind Power Assn., Versus TNEB	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondent to implement the Order No.1 of 2009 dated 20-3-2009. For admission.
8	P.P.A.P. No.1 of 2011	1. Sakthi Sugars Ltd., 2. TNPL Versus TNEB / TANGEDCO	Thiru. Shivakumar “ Suresh	For details.

9	D.R.P.No.9 of 2011	Lance Tanjore Power Co., Ltd.,  Versus  TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan	Praying to adjudicate the dispute between the petitioner and respondent arising out of the issuance of notice dated 26-8-2010, whereby demand has been made for an amount of Rs.1,59,57,115/- For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.17 of 2011	Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Versus 1)Director, TANTRANSCO 2)Director, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Nagapattinam EDC 4) TNEB	Adv. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan	Direct the respondent to pay for 509340 units of power exported into the grid during 4-1-2011 and 20-1-2011. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.20 of 2011	MMS Steel & Power Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Direct the respondent of Rs.4.67 per unit amounting to Rs.2,30,33,089 for power supplied between 24-5-2010 to 21-6-2010. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

**K. Veeramani**  
Director - Legal