

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 12-4- 2013

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11.30 am

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	S.M.P.No.3 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	TANGEDCO	To explain the T&D Loss. Summon to appear.
2	P.P.A.P.No. 4 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Praying to approve the adoption of tariff for procurement of 1177 MW of RTC power from 1 st June 2013 to 25 th May 2014. For admission.
3	P.P.A.P.No. 5 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Praying to approve the adoption of tariff for procurement of around 903 to 927 MW of RTC power from 1 st June 2013 to 25 th May 2014. For admission.
4	M.P.No.4 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the Merit Order for the month of February 2013. For admission.
5	M.P.No. 8 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the Merit Order for the month of March 2013. For admission.
6	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in P.P.A.P.No.6 of 2012	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO Versus OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd.,	Thiru. Seshadri, CE Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to reopen the petition. For admission.
7	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in P.P.A.P.No. 8 of 2012	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO Versus Sterlite Industries (I) Ltd.,	Thiru. Seshadri, CE Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to reopen the petition. For admission.
8	D.R.P.No.6 of 2013	B & G Solar Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Nagapattinam	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to declare that the 2 nd respondent letter dated 18-3-2011 is illegal and contrary to law. For admission.
9	M.P.No.3 of 2013	The Southern India Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L Rajah	Praying to direct that the surplus banked energy remaining unadjusted is eligible for encashment with the respondent at the rate of Rs.5.50/- per unit. For admission.
10	M.P.No.6 of 2013	Indian Wind Power Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct that the surplus banked energy remaining unadjusted is eligible for encashment with the respondent at the rate of Rs.5.50/- per unit. For admission.
11	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 in M.P.No.7 of 2013	Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to equitably distribute Load Shedding without exempting any class or category of consumers from such Load Shedding. For admission.
12	D.R.P.No.7 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.35,99,523/- along with interest at 15% pa. For admission.

13	D.R.P.No.8 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 10,29,246/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For admission.
14	D.R.P.No.9 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 3,80,964/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For admission.
15	D.R.P.No. 10 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 4,91,040/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For admission.
16	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.15,71,328/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For admission.
17	D.R.P.No. 12 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 7,91,329/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For admission.
18	D.R.P.No. 13 of 2013	National Energy and Services Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to Set aside the 2 nd respondent letter dated 2-12-2012 and refund the sum of Rs.6/- crores along with interest. For admission.
19	I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy Papers & Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, Revenue, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28-9-2012. For admission and interim relief.
20	I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy Papers & Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, Revenue, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11-8-2012. For admission and interim relief.
21	I.A.No.1 of 2013 and DRP 16 of 2013	M/s. Cauvery Power Gen. Chennai Pvt. Ltd. Versus (1) TANGEDCO (2) S.E/Chennai EDC	Thiru Vinod Kumar	Praying to declare that the levy and collection of maximum demand charges from the petitioner is illegal and contrary to law. For counter on interim application.
22	R.A.No. 1 of 2013	1) Sai Regency Power Corp. Pvt., Ltd., 2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Sai Regency & Beta Wind	For determination of Transmission Charges as remanded by APTEL. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S.Gunasekaran
Secretary