

No. 29 / 2014 dated: 26-3-2014

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 11-4- 2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.6 of 2014	Anu Cashews Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No. 7 of 2014	Binaguri Tea Company Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
3	D.R.P.No. 8 of 2014	India Fashions Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
4	D.R.P.No.9 of 2014	Savita Oil Technologies Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
5	D.R.P.No.10 of 2014	M.Babanna Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
6	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2014	P.M. Granite Exp. Pvt.Ltd, Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
7	D.R.P.No.12 of 2014	Spero Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.

8	D.R.P.No.13 of 2014	Scientific Mes-Technik Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
9	D.R.P.No. 14 of 2014	Elveety Industries Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
10	D.R.P.No.15 of 2014	Sri Kumaraswamy Mineral Exports Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
11	D.R.P.No. 16 of 2014	Orange County Resorts and Hotels Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
12	D.R.P.No. 17 of 2014	Zuri Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
13	D.R.P.No. 18 of 2014	Om Sakthi Wind Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
14	D.R.P.No. 19 of 2014	Sree Rayalaseema Hi-Strenth Hypo Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
15	D.R.P.No. 20 of 2014	Krishna Kumar Orthopaedic Hospital and Institute of Orthopaedics (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
16	D.R.P.No. 21 of 2014	Maris Spinners Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.

17	D.R.P.No. 22 of 2014	Texonic Instruments Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
18	D.R.P.No. 23 of 2014	Maris Hotels and Theatres (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
19	D.R.P.No. 24 of 2014	Bhabani Pigments Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
20	D.R.P.No. 25 of 2014	R.Madan Mohan Rao Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
21	D.R.P.No. 26 of 2014	Powerica Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
22	D.R.P.No. 27 of 2014	Devi Sea Foods Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
23	D.R.P.No. 28 of 2014	VVD and Sons Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
24	D.R.P.No. 29 of 2014	Nalco Metal ProductsLtd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
25	D.R.P.No. 30 of 2014	TCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.

26	D.R.P.No. 31 of 2014	Hindustan Platinum Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
27	D.R.P.No. 32 of 2014	The Metal Powder Company Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
28	D.R.P.No. 33 of 2014	Spikepower protection systems & Technologies Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC (Revenue)	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
29	D.R.P.No.34 of 2014	Natesan Synchrocones P. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC (Revenue)	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
30	D.R.P.No. 35 of 2014	TCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Sivagangai EDC	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
31	D.R.P.No.36 of 2014	Mangalam Fashions Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
32	D.R.P.No. 37 of 2014	Woodside Fashions Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
33	D.R.P.No. 38 of 2014	Natesan precision components Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.
34	D.R.P.No. 39 of 2014	Tamil Nadu Gears and Shafts Corporation Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days from the date of invoice. For admission.

35	D.R.P.No. 40 of 2014	Nupower Renewables Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of invoices fallen due for payment together with interest at the interest rates as per the Energy Purchase Agreements and APTEL order dated 17 th April 2012. For admission.
36	D.R.P.No.41 of 2014	Rajapalayam Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L Rajah	Praying to direct the respondent to effect the order dated 7-9-2010 passed in R.A.No.4 of 2013 and apply to all consumers. For arguments.
37	M.P.No.15 of 2013	Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to punish respondents for their contravention of the Commission's order dated 21-2-2013 in R.A.No.3 of 2013. For arguments.
38	I.A.No. 1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 22 of 2013	Shambu Vengu Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE, Mettur EDC 4) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. S. Sivanandam	Praying to declare and direct that respondents to charge transmission and distribution loss only to the extent of adjusted units. For arguments.
39	D.R.P.No. 28 of 2013	Sri Venkittalakshmi Texs Versus 1) CE, NCES 2)SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondents to cancel the EPA dated 2-3-2011 and permit the petitioner to adjust the self generated wind energy in LT connection. For arguments.
40	D.R.P.No.29 of 2013	Angalakshmi Spin Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC 4) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. S. Sivanandam	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest of Rs.48,31,099/- for the belated payment for supply of electricity and for encashment of unutilized banking energy. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary