

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 21-4- 2014**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 11.00 am**

<b>Sl.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel or parties</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	D.R.P.No.1 of 2013	OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.56,61,291.50/- towards supply of 12,12,268 units. R2&3 filed counter. R1 has to file counter. For arguments.
2	D.R.P.No.2 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.88,38,811/- towards supply of 18,92,679 units. R2&3 filed counter. R1 has to file counter. For arguments.
3	D.R.P.No.7 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.35,99,523/- along with interest at 15% pa. For arguments.
4	D.R.P.No.8 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 10,29,246/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For arguments.
5	D.R.P.No.9 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 3,80,964/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For arguments.
6	D.R.P.No. 10 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 4,91,040/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For arguments.
7	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.15,71,328/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For arguments.

8	D.R.P.No. 12 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 7,91,329/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No.5 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the respondent to pay Rs.1,91,58,166/- being the amount payable at the contractual rate for the 41,15,180 units supplied to the respondent. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	1. Terra Energy Ltd., 2. Shree Ambika Sug. Ltd. Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji   M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to declare the action of TNEB and PTC in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power for the month of September and October 2009 as arbitrary and illegal. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.16 of 2011	1. Shree Ambika Sug.Ltd., 2. Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji   M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct PTC to make payment of Rs.4,97,14,775/- being the amount illegally deducted from the bills of 1 <sup>st</sup> petitioner. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary