

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**REVISED CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 23-4- 2014**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30pm**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.26 of 2013	M/s. Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SLDC	Adv. Jenasen " E. Manoharan	Praying to direct the respondents to release the sum of Rs.2,35,76,000/- withheld from the sums payable to the petitioner for supply of energy. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No.26 of 2012	Madurai Power Corp. Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus  1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SLDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji   Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the respondents are bound to issue dispatch instructions under the PPA strictly in terms of Article 6.3 thereby disentitling them from issuing part / zero power dispatch instructions under the terms of the PPA between the parties except in the event of an emergency affecting the grid system. For arguments.
3	D.R.P.No.27 of 2012	Samalpatti Power Co. Pvt. Ltd.,  Versus  1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SLDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji   Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the respondents are bound to issue dispatch instructions under the PPA strictly in terms of Article 6.3 thereby disentitling them from issuing part / zero power dispatch instructions under the terms of the PPA between the parties except in the event of an emergency affecting the grid system. For arguments.
4	M.P.No.34 of 2012	Brakes India Ltd.,  Versus  TANGEDCO	Thiru. N.L. Rajah  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to clarify that the demand available to the petitioner should be calculated only on the basis of the energy injected into the grid and non on the basis of the energy consumed by the petitioner. For arguments.
5	M.P.No.5 of 2012	Indian Wind Power Assn., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-2012 to May 31 <sup>st</sup> 2012. For arguments.

6	M.P.No.7 of 2012	The Southern Ind.M Assn. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-2012 by a further period of three months. For arguments.
7	M.P.No.3 of 2013	The Southern India Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L Rajah	Praying to direct that the surplus banked energy remaining unadjusted is eligible for encashment with the respondent at the rate of Rs.5.50/- per unit. For arguments.
8	M.P.No.6 of 2013	Indian Wind Power Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct that the surplus banked energy remaining unadjusted is eligible for encashment with the respondent at the rate of Rs.5.50/- per unit. For arguments.
9	M.P.No.4 of 2012	Indian Wind Power Association Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to extend the time for implementation of RPO to next year March 2013 instead of March 2012. For arguments.
10	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	CFC, Revenue	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For arguments.
11	P.P.A.P.No.5 of 2012	SPEC Power (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to approve the addendum 2 to the power purchase agreement. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary