

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 29-4- 2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No. 49 of 2014	Coimbatore Pioneer Fertilizers Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.68,14,158/- being the interest due against the delayed payments. For admission.
2	I.A.No. 1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 50 of 2014	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SLDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC 5) Internal Audit Officer	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to set aside the respondent letter dated 20-3-2014. For admission and interim relief.
3	D.R.P.No. 51 of 2014	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.32,05,74,595 towards refund / reimbursement of the MAT for the period from 2005-06 to 2011-12. For admission.
4	D.R.P.No. 52 of 2014	Designco Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) DF, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC	M/s.Sri & Shankar Associates	Praying to direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.57,23,359/- being the amount due under the PPA together with interest. For admission.
5	P.P.A.P. No. 3 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus 1) D.B. Power Ltd., and 10 others.	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Praying for adoption of levellised tariff of Rs.4.91 per kwh for purchase of 3330 MW. For admission.
6	M.P.No. 25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) M(G) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan	Praying to issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For admission.
7	M.P.No. 26 of 2014	Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.K.Seshadri	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For admission.
8	M.P.No. 27 of 2014	Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.K.Seshadri	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For admission.

9	P.P.A.P. No.1 of 2011	1. Sakthi Sugars Ltd., 2. TNPL Versus TNEB / TANGEDCO	Thiru. Shivakumar “ Suresh Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the power purchase price for using coal instead of bagasse by the petitioner. For arguments.
10	R.P.No.4 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru. V. R. Geethanathan	Praying to review the order dated 28-9-2012 passed in M.P.No.10 of 2012. For arguments.
11	M.P.No.12 of 2012	Gangadhar Narasingdas Agarwal Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. Srinath Sridaran Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to punish the respondent for failure to comply with the orders of the Commission dated 11-7-2011 in D.R.P.No. 14 of 2011. For arguments.
12	M.P.No.49 of 2012	DCW Ltd., Versus 1) CMD, TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3)SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. C. Seethapathy Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondent to implement the Commission Order No.1 of 2009 and impose penalty for not following the provision 8.11 of the Order No.1 of 2009. For arguments.
13	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Satyaseelan Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12,82,83,441/-. For arguments.
14	D.R.P.No.13 of 2012	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to use alternate fuel to maintain PLF of the plant as contemplated in PPA. For arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.22 of 2012	International Institute of Bio Technology & Toxicology Versus SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv. Anitha Sumanth Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to quash the impugned letter of the respondent dated 19-10-2012. For arguments.
16	P.P.A.P.No. 2 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus GMR Power Corporation Ltd.,	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Praying to approve the procurement of power from respondent for a period of one year i.e from 15-2-2014 to 14-2-2015. For furnishing details.
17	D.R.P.No.31 of 2013	K.S.R. Textiles (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Mettur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the 2nd respondent impugned notice dated 15-3-2013. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary