

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 22-12-2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	R.A.No. 6 of 2013	1) Beta Wind Farm (P) Ltd., 2) Indian Wind Power Assn., 3) Southern India Mills Assn., 4) IWTMA 5) IWEA, 6)Velatal Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., 7) TASMA 8) Indian Spinning Mill Owner Assn., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul balaji Adv.N.L.Rajah Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.V.Mahendran	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.197, 198, 200, 201, 208 of 2012 and 6 of 2013. For admission.
2	R.A.No.1 of 2014	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.N.L.Rajah	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.196 & 199 of 2013. For admission.
3	R.A.No.2 of 2014	Tamil Nadu Power Producers Assn., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.N.L.Rajah	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.197 of 2013. For admission.
4	R.A.No.3 of 2014	1) The south Indian Sugar Mills Assn., 2) Ponni Sugars (Erode) Ltd., 3) EID Parry (India) Ltd., 4) Rajashree Sugars and Chemicals Ltd., 5) Kothari Sugars&Chemicals Ltd., 6) Sakthi Sugars Ltd., 7) Dharani sugars & Chemicals Ltd., 8) Bannari Amman Sugars Ltd., 9) Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars pvt., Ltd., 10) Madras Sugars Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Gopal Chaudhary	To comply with the directions issued in R.P.No.13 of 2013 in Appeal No.199 of 2012. For admission.
5	R.A.No.4 of 2014	The Southern India Mills Assn., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.N.L.Rajah	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.257 of 2012. For admission.
6	M.P.No.41 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP	Seeking approval for deviations in the short term power procurement of 3000 MW for a period of one year. For admission.
7	R.P.No.1 of 2014	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to review the Commission's Order dated 15-9-2014 passed in M.P.No.25 of 2012. For admission.
8	M.P.No.54 of 2014	TANTRANSCO Versus Nil	CE,Transmission	Praying to approve the capital investment plan for transmission function for the financial year 2014-15 and 2015-16. For admission.

9	M.P.No. 37 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of July 2014. For admission.
10	M.P.No.40 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP,TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of August 2014. For admission.
11	M.P.No.42 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP,TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of September 2014. For admission.
12	M.P.No.43 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP,TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of October 2014. For admission.
13	M.P.No.44 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP,TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of November 2014. For admission.
14	M.P.No.55 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP,TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of December 2014. For admission.
15	M.P.No. 19 of 2014	Southern Railway Versus 1) Secy. to Govt. Energy Department. 2) TANGEDCO 3)Dir.FinanceTANGEDCO	Adv.R.Sathyabama	Praying to fix the tariff for Railway Traction for the disputed period from December 2001 to March 2003. R2&3 filed counter. R1 has to file the counter.
16	M.P.No.34 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association and 96 others.	CE, Commercial	Approval requested for procurement of ABT Compliant meters as per revised technical specification. For counter.
17	P.P.A.P. No.1 of 2011	1. Sakthi Sugars Ltd., 2. TNPL Versus TNEB / TANGEDCO	Thiru. Shivakumar " Suresh	Praying to fix the power purchase price for using coal instead of bagasse by the petitioner. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary