

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**REVISED CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 29-12-2014**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 pm**

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No.36 of 2014	Tamil Nadu News Print and Papers Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner's captive power plant comprised of steam powered turbo generators as cogeneration plants. For admission.
2	M.P.No.35 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,NCES	Praying to include certain category of consumers as eligible consumers under Order No.3 of 2013 dated 13-11-2013. For admission.
3	M.P.No.30 of 2014	Cuddalore PowerGen Corporation Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to extend the date for financial closure of the project till 30-4-2016. For filing affidavit.
4	R.P.No.2 of 2014	Hindustan Cleanenergy Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru Rajat Malhan	Praying to extend the control period in Order No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For admission.
5	R.P.No.3 of 2014	Acme Solar Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru Surajit Chanda	Praying to extend the control period in Order No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For admission.
6	R.P.No.4 of 2014	Welspun Renewables Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru.Suresh Kumar	Praying to extend the control period in Order No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For admission.
7	R.P.No.5 of 2014	Vaibhav Jyoti Power Utility Services Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru.Subba Rao	Praying to extend the control period to eighteen months till March 2016. For admission.
8	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.28 of 2014	Union of India, Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC	Adv.Siddharth Bahety	Praying to amend the provision 5 (2) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code by adding a proviso exempting Railways from paying double rate for any exceeded demand. For arguments.
9	S.M.P.No.1 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Ambika Cotton Mills Ltd., 2)Premier Mills Pvt. Ltd., 3) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills 4) Sambandam Spinning Mills 5) Premier Spinning Weaving Mills 6)Sambandam Spinning Mills Ltd., 7) Rajave Textiles Pv. Ltd., 8) K.M.D.Clothing	CFC, Revenue  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Adjustment of High slot units of wind energy against the consumption in the lower slot. For arguments.

		<p>9) Sonal Vyapar Ltd.,  10) Suriya Spinning Mills  11) Palmar Mills (P) Ltd.,  12) Sri Saravanan Spinning Mills  13) Sri Saravanan Spinning  14) Standard Spinning &amp; Weaving  15) Mallur Siddeswara Spinning  16) Centwin Textiles Mills (P) Ltd.,  17) Vinayagar Spinning Mills  18) Sri Karunambika Mills (P) Ltd.,  19) Gomuki Spinning Mills Ltd.,  20) Cape Flour Mills (P) Ltd.,  21) Futura Polyesters Ltd.,  22) Sree Anandhakumar Mills Ltd.,  23) Raghav Industries Ltd.,  24) Chenduran Cotspin (India)  25) Pavathad Spinning Mills (P) Ltd.,  26) Dharani Textiles (P) Ltd.,  27) K.P. Textiles  28) K.P. Textiles  29) K.P. Textiles  30) Suganeswara Spinning Mills  31) Parani Spinning Mills P. Ltd.,  32) Guhan Texiles Mills P. Ltd.,  33) VKSM Cotton Mills Ltd.,  34) Kandagiri Spinning Mills Ltd.,  35) Kandagiri Spinning Mills Ltd.,  36) Kandagiri Spinning Mills Ltd.,  37) Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Ltd.,  38) C.N.V. Textiles (P) Ltd.,  39) Vellingiri Andavar Textiles (P)  40) C.A.V. Cotton Mills Ltd.,  41) M.T.A. Mills (P) Ltd.,  42) AVE Maria Spinning Mills Pvt.  43) Sri Kannapiran Mills Ltd.,  44) Shri Mookambiga Spinning  45) Sanpreet Castings Pvt., Ltd.,  46) RRD Tex  47) Gainup Industries India P. Ltd.,  48) VTX Industries Ltd.,  49) Sivasubramania Textiles  50) K.A.S. Industries India Ltd.,  51) Rasi Tex (I) Pvt. Ltd.,  52) Naga Ltd., (Food)  53) Naga Ltd., (Chemicals)  54) Naga Ltd.,  55) R.B. Wovens (P) Ltd.,  56) R.B. Wovens (P) Ltd.,</p>		
10	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	<p>TANGEDCO  Versus  1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian  2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani</p>	CFC, Revenue	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For arguments.
11	M.A.P.No.3 of 2007	<p>TANGEDCO  Versus  Madurai Power Corporation  Pvt., Ltd.,</p>	Adv. Rahul Balaji	For determination of capital cost. For arguments.
12	M.A.P.No. 2 of 2007 and M.A.P.No. 1 of 2012	<p>TANGEDCO  Versus  Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd  and  Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd  Versus  TANGEDCO</p>	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to finalize and fix the capital cost of the 105.66MW power project. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary