

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 18-2- 2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 in M.P.No.7 of 2013	Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to equitably distribute Load Shedding without exempting any class or category of consumers from such Load Shedding. For counter.
2	I.A.No.1 of 2013 and DRP 16 of 2013	M/s. Cauvery Power Gen. Chennai Pvt. Ltd. Versus (1) TANGEDCO (2) S.E/Chennai EDC	Thiru Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the levy and collection of maximum demand charges from the petitioner is illegal and contrary to law. For counter.
3	M.P.No.3 of 2013	The Southern India Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L Rajah Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct that the surplus banked energy remaining unadjusted is eligible for encashment with the respondent at the rate of Rs.5.50/- per unit. For arguments.
4	M.P.No.6 of 2013	Indian Wind Power Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct that the surplus banked energy remaining unadjusted is eligible for encashment with the respondent at the rate of Rs.5.50/- per unit. For arguments.
5	D.R.P.No.6 of 2013	B & G Solar Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Nagapattinam	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the 2 nd respondent letter dated 18-3-2011 is illegal and contrary to law. For arguments.
6	D.R.P.No. 13 of 2013	National Energy and Services Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to Set aside the 2 nd respondent letter dated 2-12-2012 and refund the sum of Rs.6/- crores along with interest. For arguments.
7	I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy Papers & Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, Revenue, 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28-9-2012. For arguments.
8	I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy Papers & Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, Revenue, 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11-8-2012. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary