

**No. 20 / 2014 dated: 14-2-2014**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 25-2- 2014**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 11am**

<b>Sl.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel or parties</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	M.P.No. 31 of 2013	ARS Metals (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Operation, TANTRANSCO	Adv. Seshadri  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to grant approval for erecting dedicated transmission lines by the petitioner. For admission.
2	I.A.No. 1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 32 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Chen. EDC,North	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit by the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent is illegal and contrary to law. For admission and interim relief.
3	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 33 of 2013	A.R.S. Metals Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue 3) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv. Seshadri  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit is illegal and contrary to law. For admission and interim relief.
4	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 34 of 2013	Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue 3) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv. Seshadri  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit is illegal and contrary to law. For admission and interim relief.
5	P.P.A.P.No. 9 of 2013	Kamachi Sponge and Power Corporation Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L Rajah  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondents to pay the petitioner at the applicable rates per unit for the surplus units pumped into the grid. For admission.

**(By Order of the Commission)**

**S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary**