

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 23-6-2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	S.M.P.No. 3 of 2014	Coimbatore Consumer Cause Versus 1) SE, Coimbatore, North, South and Metro. 2) CE, Commercial	Thiru. K.Kathirmathiyon	Summon to appear in person.
2	S.M.P.No. 4 of 2014	S.R.P.Construction Company Versus 1) AEE, Pudupalayam, Cuddalore EDC 2) SE, Cuddalore EDC	S.R.P.Construction Company	Summon to appear in person.
3	D.R.P.No.26 of 2013	M/s. Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SLDC	Adv. Jenasen " E. Manoharan	Praying to direct the respondents to release the sum of Rs.2,35,76,000/- withheld from the sums payable to the petitioner for supply of energy. For admission.
4	P.P.A.P.No.4 of 2014	IOT Mabagas Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to fix an appropriate revised project specific tariff for the 2.40 MW anaerobic Biogas Plant. For admission.
5	M.P.No.30 of 2014	Cuddalore PowerGen Corporation Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to extend the date for financial closure of the project till 30-4-2016. For admission.
6	M.P.No.32 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, Gas Turbine Schemes	Praying to approve and ratify for having generated real power of 0.86546 MU in FY 2013-14 and 2.22936 MU in April 2014 under unavoidable emergency circumstances. For admission.
7	D.R.P.No.47 of 2014	White House Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.62,31,692/- being the interest due and against delayed payments. For admission.
8	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	CFC, Revenue	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For arguments.

9	M.P.No. 20 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, Mechanical Thermal Station, TANGEDCO	Praying to ratify and approve the units I, II, III and IV of Ennore TPS to keep in service even though the variable cost (Ex-Bus) is more than Rs.3.50per kwhr. For arguments.
10	M.P.No.3 of 2013	The Southern India Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L Rajah	Praying to direct that the surplus banked energy remaining unadjusted is eligible for encashment with the respondent at the rate of Rs.5.50/- per unit. For arguments.
11	M.P.No.6 of 2013	Indian Wind Power Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct that the surplus banked energy remaining unadjusted is eligible for encashment with the respondent at the rate of Rs.5.50/- per unit. For arguments.
12	M.P.No.5 of 2012	Indian Wind Power Assn., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-2012 to May 31 st 2012. For arguments.
13	M.P.No.7 of 2012	The Southern Ind.M Assn. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-2012 by a further period of three months. For arguments.
14	D.R.P.No.24 of 2013	M/s. Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries (P) Ltd., Versus 1) DO, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Dindigul EDC 3) D.S.R.M. Steels Pvt Ltd., 4) ACE, Erode EDC 5)TANTRANSCO/SLDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to adjust 88,100 units. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary