No. 22 / 2014 dated: 20-2-2014

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 12-3- 2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2013	Cauvery Power Gen. Chennai Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) DO, TANTRANSCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
2	D.R.P.No.1 of 2013	OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2)TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.56,61,291.50/- towards supply of 12,12,268 units. For arguments.
3	D.R.P.No.2 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2)TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.88,38,811/- towards supply of 18,92,679 units. For arguments.
4	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 3 of 2013	Yogalakshmi Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1)CFC, Revenue 2) SE, Gopi EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-10-2012 issued by 2nd Respondent. For arguments.
5	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 4 of 2013	Rajaguru Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1)CFC, Revenue 2) SE, Gopi EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 29-12-2012 issued by 2nd Respondent. For arguments.
6	D.R.P.No.5 of 2013	TCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Planning and RC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.9,19,24,107/- together with interest of Rs.4,00,38,655/- for supply of 450 million units. For arguments.
7	P.P.A.P.No. 2 of 2013	Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP	Adv. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
8	P.P.A.P.No.3 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO.	Adv. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
9	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary