

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 13-3- 2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30pm

| SI. | Case No. | Name of the Parties | Counsel or parties | Remarks |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | D.R.P.No. 1 of 2014 | M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation, TANTRANSCO. 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC,North | Adv. K. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian | Praying to fix the E.M.D., Development charges, Service Connection charges and Meter Caution Deposit and direct the respondents to refund the excess amounts collected. For admission. |
| 2 | D.R.P.No. 2 of 2014 | M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation, TANTRANSCO. 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC,North | Adv. K. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian | Praying to fix the O&M, Inspection and Supervision charges for maintaining 230 K.V. Switch yard and direct the respondents to refund the excess amounts collected. For admission. |
| 3 | D.R.P.No. 3 of 2014 | M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation, TANTRANSCO. 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC, North | Adv. K. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian | Praying to fix the establishment and supervision charges for the transmission lines to be erected and direct the respondents to refund the excess amounts collected. For admission. |
| 4 | I.A.Nos.1&2 of 2014 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2014 | B&G Solar Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO(2)CFC, Revenue (3) Dir. Finance 4) SE, Nagapattinam EDC | Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian | Praying to set aside the letter dated 9-12-2013 issued by TANGEDCO. For admission and interim relief. |
| 5 | I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2014 | Saheli Exports Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO (2)CFC, Revenue (3) Dir. Finance 4) SE, Nagapattinam EDC | Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian | Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For admission and interim relief. |
| 6 | D.R.P.No.20 of 2012 | Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, Revenue | Adv. Satyaseelan Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian | Praying to declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12,82,83,441/-. For arguments. |
| 7 | D.R.P.No.13 of 2012 | Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO | Adv. P. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian | Praying to permit the petitioner to use alternate fuel to maintain PLF of the plant as contemplated in PPA. For arguments. |
| 8 | P.P.A.P.No. 3 of 2012 | TANGEDCO Versus Nil | Adv.P.H. Vinod Pandian | Praying to approve the purchase of power totaling a quantum of about 810 MW for a period less than one year i.e from June 2012 to May 2013. For arguments. |

(By Order of the Commission)

**S. Gunasekaran
Secretary**