

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 13-1-2015

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No.18 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & 2) TNEB	Adv. Rahul Balaji	For orders.
2	S.M.P.No. 4 of 2014	S.R.P.Construction Company Versus 1) AEE & 2) SE, Cuddalore EDC	S.R.P.Construction Company	For orders.
3	M.P.No.38 of 2014	T.T.Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.9 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For admission.
4	M.P.No.39 of 2014	T.T.Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.10 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For admission.
5	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 1 of 2015	Deventhira Spinners (P) Ltd., HTSC No. 153 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Mettur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
6	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 2 of 2015	Durairaj Mills Ltd.,Unit 1 HTSC No. 9 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
7	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 3 of 2015	Durairaj Mills Ltd., Unit 2 HTSC No. 32 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
8	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 4 of 2015	Thangavelu Textiles Mills Pvt., HTSC No.149 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Salem EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
9	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 5 of 2015	Thangavelu Spinning Mills Pvt., Ltd., HTSC No.105 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
10	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.28 of 2014	Union of India, Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC	Adv.Siddharth Bahety	Praying to amend the provision 5 (2) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code by adding a proviso exempting Railways from paying double rate for any exceeded demand. For arguments.

11	M.P.No. 24 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of March 2014. For arguments.
12	M.P.No.34 of 2012	Brakes India Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. N.L. Rajah	Praying to clarify that the demand available to the petitioner should be calculated only on the basis of the energy injected into the grid and non on the basis of the energy consumed by the petitioner. For arguments.
13	M.P.No.43 of 2012	Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Assn., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to equitably distribute such restriction and control measures without exempting any class or category of consumers from such restriction and control measures. For arguments.
14	M.P.No.44 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Commercial	Praying to permit the petitioner for continuation of adoption of the present system of distribution to overcome the deficit supply of power. For arguments.
15	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 in M.P.No.7 of 2013	Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to equitably distribute Load Shedding without exempting any class or category of consumers from such Load Shedding. For arguments.
16	M.P.No. 29 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of April 2014. For arguments.
17	M.P.No. 31 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of May 2014. For arguments.
18	M.P.No. 33 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of June 2014. For arguments.
19	M.P.No. 37 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of July 2014. For arguments.
20	M.P.No.40 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of August 2014. For arguments.
21	M.P.No.42 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of September 2014. For arguments.
22	M.P.No.43 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of October 2014. For arguments.
23	M.P.No.44 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of November 2014. For arguments.
24	M.P.No.55 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of December 2014. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

Secretary
TamilNadu Electricity Regulatory Commission