

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 28 -10- 2015

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 PM

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No.36 of 2015	M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct that the Repairs and Maintenance cost, Employee cost, Administration and General Expenses be directed to be paid to the petitioner under PPA at actuals. For admission.
2	M.P.No. 38 of 2015	Indus Towers Ltd., Versus Nil	Thiru D.Harikrishnan	Praying to amend the Intra State Open Access regulations 2014 by allowing open access to LT consumers. For admission.
3	M.A.P.No. 2 of 2007 and M.A.P.No. 1 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., And Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to finalize and fix the capital cost of the 105.66MW power project. For arguments.
4	R.A.No. 1 of 2013	1) Sai Regency Power Corp. Pvt., Ltd., 2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Manoharan	For determination of Transmission Charges as remanded by APTEL. For arguments.
5	R.A.No. 6 of 2013	1) Beta Wind Farm (P) Ltd., 2) Indian Wind Power Assn., 3) Southern India Mills Assn., 4) IWTMA 5) IWEA, 6)Velatal Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., 7) TASMA 8) Indian Spinning Mill Owner Assn., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul balaji Adv.N.L.Rajah Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.V.Mahendran	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.197, 198, 200, 201, 208 of 2012 and 6 of 2013. For arguments.
6	M.P.No. 19 of 2014	Southern Railway Versus 1) Secy. to Govt. Energy Department. 2) TANGEDCO 3)Dir.FinanceTANGEDCO	Adv.R.Sathyabama	Praying to fix the tariff for Railway Traction for the disputed period from December 2001 to March 2003. For arguments.

7	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.28 of 2014	Union of India, Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC	Adv.Siddharth Bahety	Praying to amend the provision 5 (2) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code by adding a proviso exempting Railways from paying double rate for any exceeded demand. For arguments.
8	M.P.No. 37 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Sharadha Terry Products Ltd.,	Adv.Varalakshmi	Praying to reject the refund request of the respondent. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

**S.Gunasakaran
Secretary**