

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 27-9-2016

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	P.R.C.No. 2 of 2016	M/s.Ramnad Renewable Energy Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,NCES of TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to classify as Miscellaneous Petition. Posted for maintainability.
2	P.R.C.No. 3 of 2016	Kamuthi Solar Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,NCES of TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to classify as Miscellaneous Petition. Posted for maintainability.
3	M.P.No.17 of 2016	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Deptt., 2)TANGEDCO	Adv.K.Seshadri	Praying to fix an appropriate compensation under section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. For admission.
4	M.P.No.18 of 2016	M/s. Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Deptt., 2)TANGEDCO	Adv.K.Seshadri	Praying to fix an appropriate compensation under section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. For admission.
5	M.P.No.19 of 2016	Open Access Users Assn., Versus TANGEDCO	M/s.MSA Partners	Praying to determine the cross subsidy surcharge for the year 2016-17 based on directives of APTEL and National Tariff Policy. For admission.
6	M.P.No. 20 of 2016	SKI Carbon Black (India) P. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to determine the cross subsidy surcharge for the year 2016-17 based on directives of APTEL and National Tariff Policy. For admission.
7	M.P.No. 21 of 2016	Palladam Hi-Tech Weaving Park Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to determine the cross subsidy surcharge for the year 2016-17 based on directives of APTEL and National Tariff Policy. For admission.
8	M.P.No. 22 of 2016	Simran Wind Project Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to issue appropriate directions in furtherance of the Judgment of Madras High Court in W.P.No.22097 of 2013. For admission.
9	M.P.No. 4 of 2016	Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) The Secretary, Energy Deptt.,	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to fix the compensatory cost under section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. For counter of R2.

10	M.P.No. 5 of 2016	Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2)The Secretary, Energy Deptt.,	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to issue appropriate direction for the payment of compensation under section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. For counter of R2.
11	M.P.No. 6 of 2016	Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2)The Secretary, Energy Deptt.,	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to issue appropriate direction for the payment of compensation under section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. For counter of R2.
12	M.P.No. 7 of 2016	Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2)The Secretary, Energy Deptt.,	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to fix the compensatory cost under section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. For counter of R2.
13	M.P.No. 9 of 2016	Ind-Barath Powergencom Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2)The Secretary, Energy Deptt.,	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to fix the compensatory cost under section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. For counter of R2.
14	R.A.No. 1 of 2013	1) Sai Regency Power Corp. Pvt., Ltd., 2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	For determination of Transmission Charges as remanded by APTEL. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission