

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 11-2-2016

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 PM

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No. 37 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Sharadha Terry Products Ltd	Adv.Varalakshmi Adv.S.Kadarkarai	For orders.
2	M.P.No. 3 of 2016	Chennai Metro Rail Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru Pankaj Kumar Bansal	Praying to fix the tariff during trial operation period from July 2013 to June 2015 and also fix tariff during revenue operation based on the actual cost of supply at 110 kv level excluding subsidy and cross subsidy elements in line with NTP and on par with DMRC/BMRCL. For admission.
3	P.P.A.P.No.1of 2015	Subramaniya Siva Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
4	P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2015	The Chengalrayan Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 18 MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
5	P.P.A.P.No. 3 of 2015	The Vellore Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
6	P.P.A.P.No. 4 of 2015	The Kallakurichi 1 Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
7	P.P.A.P.No. 5 of 2015	The Kallakurichi 2 Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
8	P.P.A.P.No.6 of 2015	The Salem Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
9	P.P.A.P.No.7 of 2015	The M.R.Krishnamurthy Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
10	P.P.A.P.No.8of 2015	Arignar Anna Sugar Mills Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
11	P.P.A.P.No.9 of 2015	Perambalur Sugar Mills Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 18MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.

12	P.P.A.P.No.10 of 2015	The Dharmapuri District Co-opt. Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 12MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
13	P.P.A.P.No.11 of 2015	The Cheyyar Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
14	P.P.A.P.No.13 of 2015	The National Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For counter.
15	I.A.No. 1 of 2015 in M.P.No.34 of 2015	Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Kallakurichi EDC	Thiru C.Satyanarayana	Praying to direct the respondent to dispense with the levy of surcharge for harmonics as far as Indian Oil Corporation is concerned. For counter.
16	M.P.No.38 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) TASMA 2) IWPA 3) SIMA	CE, NCES, TANGEDCO Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.L.Rajah	Praying to clarify whether the transmission charges to be collected by TANGEDCO may be per day per MW or part thereof basis or in proportion to the capacity of the WEG. For arguments.
17	M.P.No.49 of 2012	DCW Ltd., Versus 1) CMD, TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3)SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. C. Seethapathy	Praying to direct the respondent to implement the Commission Order No.1 of 2009 and impose penalty for not following the provision 8.11 of the Order No.1 of 2009. For arguments.
18	M.P.No.82 of 2013	SESA Sterlite Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner's waste heat recovery boiler system is a cogeneration plant. For further arguments.
19	R.A.No. 1 of 2013	1) Sai Regency Power Corp. Pvt., Ltd., 2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	For determination of Transmission Charges as remanded by APTEL. For arguments.
20	R.A.No. 6 of 2013	1) Beta Wind Farm (P) Ltd., 2) Indian Wind Power Assn., 3) Southern India Mills Assn., 4) IWTMA (5) IWEA, 6)Velatal Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., 7) TASMA 8) Indian Spinning Mill Owner Assn., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul balaji Adv.N.L.Rajah Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.V.Mahendran	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.197, 198, 200, 201, 208 of 2012 and 6 of 2013. For arguments.
21	M.P.No.32 of 2015	M/s.Ozone Projects Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, CEDC/West	Adv.N.L.Rajah	Praying to change the tariff for HT SC No.1860 from HT Tariff III to HT Tariff IIA. For arguments.
22	I.A.No. 1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 40 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,PPP of TANGEDCO	Praying to condone the delay and approve, ratify for dispatch the high cost IPPs M/s.MPCL, SPCL and PPN for the second quarter of 2015-16. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Chinnarajulu
Secretary