

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**REVISED CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 25- 4-2016**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 PM**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No.30 of 2015	CE,Gas Turbine Scheme, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Gas Turbine Scheme	For orders.
2	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No.31 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,PPP of TANGEDCO	For orders.
3	I.A.No. 1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 40 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,PPP of TANGEDCO	For orders.
4	I.A.No. 1 of 2016 in M.P.No. 2 of 2016	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,PPP of TANGEDCO	For orders.
5	M.P.No. 10 of 2016	TANTRANSCO Versus Nil	CE,Transmission	Praying to approve the Capital Investment Plan for the FY 2016-17 for Rs.2823.40 Crores, for the FY 2017-18 for Rs.2926 Crores and for the FY 2018-19 for Rs.3945 Crores. For admission.
6	M.P.No.39 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Revenue, TANGEDCO	Praying to approve the additional capitalization for the financial year 2010-11 to 2012-13. For arguments.
7	M.P.No.54 of 2014	TANTRANSCO Versus Nil	CE,Transmission	Praying to approve the capital investment plan for transmission function for the financial year 2014-15 and 2015-16. For arguments.
8	R.A.No. 1 of 2013	1) Sai Regency Power Corp. Pvt., Ltd., 2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	For determination of Transmission Charges as remanded by APTEL. For arguments.
9	R.A.No.1 of 2014	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.N.L.Rajah	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.196 & 199 of 2013. For arguments.
10	R.A.No.2 of 2014	Tamil Nadu Power Producers Assn., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.N.L.Rajah	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.197 of 2013. For arguments.
11	R.A.No.4 of 2014	The Southern India Mills Assn., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.N.L.Rajah	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.257 of 2012. For arguments.
12	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2015	Subramaniya Siva Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.

13	P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2015	The Chengalrayan Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 18 MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
14	P.P.A.P.No. 3 of 2015	The Vellore Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
15	P.P.A.P.No. 4 of 2015	The Kallakurichi 1 Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
16	P.P.A.P.No. 5 of 2015	The Kallakurichi 2 Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
17	P.P.A.P.No.6 of 2015	The Salem Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
18	P.P.A.P.No.7 of 2015	The M.R.Krishnamurthy Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
19	P.P.A.P.No.8of 2015	Arignar Anna Sugar Mills Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
20	P.P.A.P.No.9 of 2015	Perambalur Sugar Mills Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 18MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
21	P.P.A.P.No.10 of 2015	The Dharmapuri District Co-opt. Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 12MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
22	P.P.A.P.No.11 of 2015	The Cheyyar Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
23	P.P.A.P.No.13 of 2015	The National Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to fix tariff for 15MW for crushing season by using bagasse as fuel and for non crushing season by using coal as fuel. For arguments.
24	M.P.No.32 of 2015	M/s.Ozone Projects Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, CEDC/West	Adv.N.L.Rajah	Praying to change the tariff for HT SC No.1860 from HT Tariff III to HT Tariff IIA. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

**S.Chinnarajalu**  
Secretary